Reply to Office Action of September 11, 2008

REMARKS

I. <u>Introductory Remarks</u>

The Applicants thank the Examiner for the careful consideration of this application.

The Office Action dated September 11, 2008 has been received and its contents carefully

considered. Claims 1-13 are currently amended. Claims 14-15 have been added. Thus,

claims 1-15 are currently pending in this application. Based on the foregoing amendments

and the following remarks, the Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider

all outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn.

II. <u>CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C.§ 103(A)</u>

On page 2 of the Office Action, Claims 1-5 and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,428,173 to Knell in view of U.S. Patent

No. 4,016,688 to Tiffin et al. On page 4 of the Office Action, Claim 6 is rejected under 35

U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. U.S. Patent No. 4,428,173 to

Knell in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,016,688 to Tiffin et al. and in further view of U.S. Patent

No. 4,337,601 to Vaerk et al. Based on the foregoing amendments and the following

remarks, the Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all outstanding

rejections and that they be withdrawn.

Claim 1 recites a welded profile for fitting a digger with a backhoe bucket or a

loading shovel. The welded profile includes upper and lower flanges, sidewalls operatively

connected to the upper flange and lower flange, and upper and lower corner regions, having

reinforced profiles, between the upper flange and the sidewalls and between the lower flange

and the sidewalls. The corner regions are formed with separate sheet metal sheets that are

Amendment dated November 11, 2008

Reply to Office Action of September 11, 2008

welded to the respective sidewalls. The sidewalls have a thinner cross-section than the

corner regions and include positioning locations for cylinder attachment points.

It is an object of the present invention to modify a welded profile which is used for

attaching a loading shovel and a backhoe bucket in such a way that it results in a reduction of

stresses in highly stressed local regions. The present invention is intended to shift the

welding seams to regions with lower stresses, to prevent welding seam failure and to result in

an overall increased service life of the components. See Specification [0008].

The Action concedes that Knell does not disclose "end regions with a reinforced

profile which form the corner regions of the upper flange and the lower flange." Tiffin,

likewise, does not teach a welded profile related to a digger that includes reinforced end or

corner regions to prevent welding seam failure. Rather, Tiffin discloses an extensible

cantilever boom assembly for a mobile crane that stiffens side plates by incorporating shaped

portions within the plates to resist buckling.

Boom profiles of excavators do not encounter the same or similar problems as boom

profiles of cranes. Excavators, for example hydraulic diggers, are used for digging in mining

operations. Machinery of this type is used in extremely difficult terrain, thus causing

welding seam failure to become a very costly factor since any damages will render the digger

unavailable for a long period of time. See Specification [00012]. Thus, the welding seams

of the boom profiles of excavators must resist high amounts of torsion and stress during

digging operation.

Unlike excavators, cranes carry loads. Crane booms are designed to support both a

load being lifted, as well as the weight of the boom itself. When the boom assembly is

extensible and mounted as a cantilever, greater stresses are developed in the boom sections.

Amendment dated November 11, 2008

Reply to Office Action of September 11, 2008

Tiffin focuses on web or side-plates designed to resist buckling under such a concentrated

loading. See Tiffin, Col. 1: 10-25. In the boom of a crane, a uniform bending load is present.

The "angle chords 28-31" disclosed in Tiffin are designed to accommodate this bending load

to prevent buckling and can only sustain small subjects of torsion.

Thus, the issue addressed in the present invention is prevention of failure of the

welding seams connecting the boom elements, not the prevention of buckling as is addressed

in Tiffin. The present invention adds reinforced corner regions in order to move the welding

seams away from cylinder attachment points, in order to protect the integrity of the welding

seams during excavation. Further, the present invention integrates cylinder attachment points

into the reinforced corner regions so that all previously existing welding seams in the prior

art can be omitted. See Specification [00015].

The "angle chords 28-31" disclosed in Tiffin are not applicable to the profile of a boom

of an excavator for use in mining, because there is no corresponding buckling problem in the

boom of an excavator as shown in Knell. It is respectfully submitted, that such a combination

of teachings from Tiffin as proposed in the Examiner's Action constitutes a picking and

choosing of disparate elements from separate references and combining them in the manner

which could only be based on Applicants' own disclosure. See <u>In re Arkley</u>, 455 F.2d 586

(C.C.P.A. 1972) ("the reference ... must clearly and unequivocally disclose the claimed

compound or direct those skilled in the art to the compound without any need for picking,

choosing, and combining various disclosures not directly related to each other by the

teachings of the cited reference."). One skilled in the art would not look to Tiffin to solve a

Amendment dated November 11, 2008

Reply to Office Action of September 11, 2008

problem that does not exist in the boom profile of a crane. There is no other reason of record

to support Examiner's use of Tiffin.

Therefore, it would not be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to incorporate the Tiffin design in the Knell boom to prevent welding

seam failure in the boom profile of an excavator. For these reasons claim 1 is allowable

under §103(a) over Knell in view of Tiffin. Claims 2-10 and 15 depend from independent

claim 1, which, as demonstrated above, is patentable over Knell and Tiffin for at least the

same foregoing reasons.

In addition, dependent claims 4-8 disclose corner regions that include reducing cross-

sectional areas. The reducing cross-sectional areas reduce the thickness of the corner portion

down to the thickness of the sidewall. Furthermore, the reducing cross-sectional area allows

the corner region to sit flush at the connection with a sidewall, upper flange or lower flange

to further strengthen the welding seam. This concept is not disclosed in Tiffin, whose "angle

chords 28-31," although thicker than the sidewalls, use flanges to weld at connection points

in overlapping or underlapping relationships. Tiffin, Fig. 2; Col. 3: 33-35. Again, it would

not be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

incorporate the Tiffin design in the Knell boom to prevent welding seam failure in the boom

profile of an excavator.

With respect to claim 11, the recited method parallels the apparatus recited in claim 1,

and therefore, claim 11 is patentable for at least the same reasons as were discussed above

with regard to claim 1. Claims 12-14 depend from independent claim 11 and are patentable

for at least the same reasons. Therefore, the withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully

requested.

Application No.: 10/537,722 Attorney Docket No.: 32016-218521

Amendment dated November 11, 2008

Reply to Office Action of September 11, 2008

CONCLUSION

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed,

accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants, therefore, respectfully request that the

Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and that they be

withdrawn. Applicants believe that a full and complete reply has been made to the

outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, the Examiner is hereby invited to telephone the undersigned

at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

Dated: December 11, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By /Robert Kinberg/

Robert Kinberg

Registration No.: 26,924

VENABLE LLP

P.O. Box 34385

Washington, DC 20043-9998

(202) 344-4000

(202) 344-8300 (Fax)

Attorney/Agent For Applicant

#1001655